

**PAST PERFORMANCE SURVEY
FOR
TTL ASSOCIATES, INC.**

Project Information:

Project Name	Little Rock Air Force Base and Travis Air Force Base Roof Surveys
Solicitation #	GSA Contract Number FSS-GS-07F-0150V
Project Date	Sept 2009 – January 2010
Contract Value	\$230,000

Respondent Information:

Company Name	Air Force – Headquarters Air Mobility Command
Name	Jeff Crislip
Position/Title	Civil Engineer
E-mail Address	jeffrey.crislip@scott.af.mil
Phone	618-229-0678
Fax	

RATINGS AND QUESTIONS:

For each item requesting a rating, respond with the rating that best describes the contractor's performance by placing an "X" in the appropriate block using the scale provided to the right of each question. This scale is defined as follows:

Excellent (E)	The Offeror's performance met contractual requirements and exceeded many requirements to the Client's benefit. The contractual performance was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the offeror were highly effective.
Very Good (VG)	The Offeror's performance met contractual requirements and exceeded some requirements to the Client's benefit. The contractual performance was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the offeror were effective.
Good (G)	The Offeror's performance met contractual requirements. The contractual performance contained some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the offeror were satisfactory.
Fair (F)	Performance did not meet some contractual requirements. Performance indicated that there are some potential risks associated with the quality products, timeliness of service, and contract performance.
Poor (P)	Performance did not meet contractual requirements. The contractual performance reflected a serious problem for which the offeror has yet to identify corrective actions or the offeror's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.

RATINGS	E	VG	G	F	P
Rate the Offeror's ability to meet pre-construction requirements in a timely manner. Comments:	X				
Rate the Offeror's ability to resolve contractual problems in a timely manner. Comments:	X				
Rate the Offeror's cooperation and interaction with your organization. Comments:	X				
Rate your experience with the Offeror's past performance based on the number of change orders that were requested. Comments:	X				
Rate the Offeror's ability to respond and meet customer requirements in a timely manner. Comments:	X				
Rate the Offeror's ability to display innovation in meeting requirements. Comments:	X				
Rate the Offeror's ability to adhere to schedules. Comments:	X				
Rate the Offeror's effectiveness in demonstrating cost control. Comments:	X				
Rate the Offeror's overall construction knowledge. Comments:	X				
Rate the Offeror's overall construction quality and workmanship. Comments:	X				
Rate the Offeror's knowledge of construction codes and regulations. Comments:	X				
Rate the Offeror's ability to manage and coordinate sub-contractors Comments: The Offeror used only in-house personnel.					
Rate the Offeror's knowledge and compliance with industry regulations, including but not limited to OSHA, EPA, and DOL. Describe any violations you are aware of.	X				
Rate the Offeror's overall commitment to safety. Describe any lost time accidents that occurred. Comments:	X				

Please provide any further information that you feel is pertinent but was not covered by this survey:

COMMENTS: